

Children and Families Service, People Directorate, Southampton City Council
Early intervention Performance Framework Q2 2014/15

No	Priority Indicator	Latest Result (Q2)	Q1	2013/14	Statistical Neighbour Average	National Average	Source of commentary	Commentary
Front Door Demand Levels for Children's Services								
LSCB1 / FD8	Rate of CiN referrals received per 10,000	838	987	732	668	573	CMT	There was a general decrease in contacts and referrals in August consistent with this time of year (school and summer holidays), although the rate remains far higher than SN and England. Thresholds were reviewed by Ofsted during the inspection and considered to be well understood by partners and correctly applied by Children's Services. Despite the high levels of referrals decision making remains timely. It is also important to note that nationally children's services are dealing with an 11% increase in referrals between March 2013 and March 2014. This has been a marked jump compared with previous years. Note that all rate measures have been re-calculated for 2013/14 and Q1 2014/15 using the revised DfE population figure of 47,400. The SN figures for the same periods have been revised to use the new SN group.
FD20	% repeat domestic violence (cases returning to MARAC within a 12 month period)	22%	23%	24%				This is consistent with the national average despite high numbers of referrals in Southampton
Multi Agency Safeguarding Hub (MASH)								
Demand monitoring								
MASH39	Number of new referrals where CSE is a factor (for children aged 13+)	8	40	n/a	n/a	n/a	LSCB	Increased awareness amongst professionals and within the MASH with regard to CSE is likely to have contributed to the increase in Q1. The school holidays in Quarter 2 are likely to have impacted on the lower figure for quarter 2 as many CSE referrals come from schools. Data accuracy for CSE is also being improved via a targeted strategy group.
Presenting needs								
System efficiency and response (processing)								
MASH40	Number of new referrals where CSE is a factor (MASH39) where a Strategy Discussion has been recorded	6	26	n/a	n/a	n/a	LSCB	In light of the reduction in new referrals the referral to strategy meeting ratio has actually improved from Q1. A strategy meeting would also only be convened where there are significant harm concerns so would not occur on CSE related child in need/universal help cases unless there was an escalation in concern to warrant this. The CSE practitioner encourages staff to hold strategy meetings over strategy discussions in line with good practice. Data accuracy around correctly recording strategy meetings on the system is also being addressed.
Outcomes (BRAG)								
MASH34	Number of referrals which MASH passed on to Child Protection Team for S47 enquiry	System changes will make this reportable from Q3	System changes will make this reportable from Q3	n/a	n/a	n/a		
FAMILIES MATTER FUNDING								
FM2	% of Families Matter target (685 families successfully turned around) met	100%	74.5%	66.4% (amended from 68.9%)	66.7%	59.0%	CMT	By the end of 2013/14 472 families had been 'turned around', with all families worked with now turned around. Southampton's performance within phase 1 of the project continues to be very strong achieving a National rank of 7th out of 152 Local Authorities. Phase 2 of the project, starting in 2015/16, will be developed based on a new set of criteria and families.
General								

Early Help Service (Exact definitions of some of these indicators are still under discussion)									
Demand monitoring									
EH14	Number of EH UHAs completed (recorded on PARIS)	298	92	n/a	n/a	n/a	CMT	This figure is for UHAs completed by the Early Help teams and recorded on PARIS; the data is provisional with some corrective activity underway to ensure that data is accurate. Recently the proportion of new UHA requests has declined, as the number of Single Assessment requests has increased. Requests by schools to EH for Education Welfare Service input is made using a UHA, but this activity is not currently included in the return.	
System efficiency and response									
Outcomes									
Children's Centres									
Demand monitoring									
CC0	% of children under 5 registered with Sure Start	88% as of April 1st 2014	88% as of April 1st 2014	n/a					
CC5	Total Number of UHAs in Children's Centres completed - NB is currently reporting UHPs closed not UHAs	61	n/a	n/a					
Children in Need (CIN)									
Demand monitoring									
CIN3	% of Children in Need with a CiN plan	Pending data accuracy work	95%	n/a	n/a	n/a	LSCB	Data accuracy work underway	
CIN6	Rate per 10,000 of Children in Need at end of period (including CP / CLA / care leavers)	Pending data accuracy work	407	393	385	332	LSCB	Data accuracy work underway	
LSCB2 / CIN16	% of referrals of CiN that are re-referrals (within 1 year) (including stepped-up cases)	22.0%	25.0%	32%	22%	23%	CMT	Provides an indication of the effectiveness of the previous response. Our figures are improving quarter on quarter indicating more of our families are receiving the help they require and our interventions are having an impact.	
System efficiency and response									
Outcomes									
Child Protection (CP)									
Demand monitoring									
CP2	Total number of children with a CP plan at period end	306	253	236	n/a	n/a			
CP3	Rate of children with CP plans per 10,000 at period end	65	53	56	52	38	CMT	More children were made subject to CP Plans during this quarter. The increase is reflected nationally. Nationally, the number of children on child protection plans has increased by 12% since last year Note that all rate measures have been re-calculated for 2013/14 and Q1 2014/15 using the revised DfE population figure of 47,400. The SN figures for the same periods have been revised to use the new SN group. The impact of teams bringing all cases that are subject to PLO (and not accommodated) to Conference and the impact of the transformation where more risk is being identified currently. Ofsted did not raise concerns about thresholds being too low.	
System efficiency and response									
CP12	% of ICPCs held within timescales (15 days from the most recent strategy meeting, or from notification date for transfer-in cases)	65%	82%	90%	64%	70%	LSCB	Figures have dropped for Q 2. The lower figure is primarily due to requests not coming in to the business support team with enough time to set up the conferences (and how strategy meetings are recorded on Paris). This is going to be compounded further by the fact that the police have to have at least 5 days' notice before conference and room availability.	

CP14	% of ICPCs resulting in a CP Plan	82%	73%	77%	90%	88%	LSCB	This figure has improved. Provides data on flow through the CP system. Also shows cases where ICPCs are held but children are not placed on a child protection plan. The LA would like to see less cases progressing to conference that do not result in a CP Plan. We are monitoring this figure monthly and seeing if there are any patterns emerging from the newly formed chairs to check consistency. Currently there are no patterns emerging and this figure seems to reflect the level of risk being presented at conference.
NI 65	% Children subject to repeat CP plans	13%	15%	16%	15%	15%	LSCB	Provides an indication of the effectiveness of the original child protection plan. Figures are fairly consistent although Q 2 indicates our child protection plans are more effective in reducing risk and have greater long-term impact than SN and England. This has improved from the previous year. Note: Q1 figure revised from 12% to 15% following data accuracy work.
LSCB4 / CP16	Number of children with a CP plan for 15+ months	14	10	n/a	n/a	n/a	CMT	This new measure allows the LA to track and monitor cases at an earlier stage and prevents drift/unresolved issues.
Outcomes								
LSCB12	Length of Care proceedings (ongoing cases)	21 weeks (average for ongoing cases)	18.5 weeks (ongoing cases)	n/a	n/a	n/a	LSCB	The length of care proceedings is slightly higher than the national average (based on a projection of ongoing cases) due to both the volume of cases before the Family Proceedings Court but also as many of those cases are complex and require a variety of specialist reports and assessments – all taking additional time. By decreasing volume and becoming confident in adopting different approaches to safeguard children outside of the Family Proceedings Court, we are likely to increase our timeliness overall.
CLA2 / LSCB13	Number of Care Proceedings Underway / Number of children subject of PLO at period end	62	59	n/a	n/a	n/a	LSCB	The levels of cases we are progressing towards care proceedings continues to be high and in response we are developing our effectiveness to engage safe plans within the pre-proceedings context. Opportunities to develop plans which safeguard children within the wider family are being developed also and it is an aim that adopting greater activity in both settings will reduce the levels of demand and in turn increase the likelihood that we will meet the 26 week timescales and proved better outcomes for children and families.
CLA5	Number of children on ICO at period end	102	97	97	n/a	n/a		
CLA14	Total number of Voluntarily Accommodated S20s at period end	102	81	80	n/a	n/a		
CLA20	Number of children subject of a Full Care Order (FCO) at period end	228	212	199	n/a	n/a		
Children Looked After (CLA) - note that all CLA measures exclude children in respite care, legal status V4								
Demand monitoring								
CLA21	Total number of Children Looked After (CLA) at period end	565	529	500	n/a	n/a	CR	There were more children entering the system and less children leaving the system leading to higher overall numbers of Looked After Children and creating more pressure on the Service. The Looked after Children Strategy and Improvement Plan is in place to address this.
CLA22	Rate of Children Looked After (CLA) per 10,000 at period end	119	112	105	77	60	LSCB	There were more children entering the system and less children leaving the system leading to higher overall numbers of Looked After Children and creating more pressure on the Service. The Looked after Children Strategy and Improvement Plan is in place to address this. Note that all rate measures have been re-calculated for 2013/14 and Q1 2014/15 using the revised DfE population figure of 47,400. The SN figures for the same periods have been revised to use the new SN group.
TBC	Total number of Children Looked After (CLA) in care up to 12 months at period end	New - not yet collected						
TBC	Total number of Children Looked After (CLA) in care over 12 months at period end	New - not yet collected						
TBC	% of CLA visited within							

CLA24	% of CLA with an updated Personal Education Plan	63%	74%	74%	n/a	n/a	CR	There is ongoing work about data quality to ensure this figure is accurate as audit does not reflect this.
CLA25	Percentage of children looked after for a year+ with Health Assessment(s) on time	52%	n/a	61%	87%	87%	CR	The declining figures mirrors the difficulties that Solent Health care have had in fulfilling this part of their contract. This is being addressed by the commissioning Unit and recovery plan is in place
CLA26	Percentage of children looked after for a year+ seeing a dentist in year	45%	n/a	64%	79%	82%	CR	This low figure is related to the difficulties with the undertaking health assessment as this would be recorded when this is recording
CLA28	Number of CLA aged under 1 year at period end	30	32	38	n/a	n/a	CR	Increasingly effective planning for adoption has led to this reduction
CLA29	Number of CLA aged 1-4 years at period end	157	146	137	n/a	n/a	CR	There is an increase in looked after children across all the age ranges over 1 as numbers have increased overall
CLA30	Number of CLA aged 5-9 years at period end	147	126	123	n/a	n/a	CR	
CLA31	Number of CLA aged 10-15 years at period end	166	158	143	n/a	n/a	CR	
CLA32	Number of CLA aged 16+ years at period end	65	62	59	n/a	n/a	CR	
System efficiency and response								
CLA33	Number of children aged 0- 5 who are looked after at period end and have been CLA for more than 12 months	92	115	111	n/a	n/a	CR	Reflects adoption
CLA34	Number of children aged 14+ who are looked after at period end and have been CLA for more than 12 months	94	95	93	n/a	n/a	CR	This is an unchanged cohort of children in long term care and reflects the stability of these placements
Placement 3	Percentage of CLA placed 20 miles from the address from which they came into care	16%	15%	13%	14%	16%	CR	Placements outside Southampton are in line with the national average and are usually specialist placements to meet specific needs
Outcomes								
TBC	% of eligible CLA who are accessing the 2 year old education funding offer	New - not yet collected						
TBC	% of eligible CLA who are accessing the 3 and 4 year old education funding offer	New - not yet collected						
CLA39a	Number of CLA missing for 24 hours or more	9	8	43	n/a	n/a	LSCB	Numbers remain consistent although we need to continue to monitor these figures as over the last 3 years Southampton has a higher percentage of CLA missing from care at 3% compared to 1% for England.
CLA40a	Number of episodes of CLA missing for 24 hours or more during the period	20	15	70	n/a	n/a	CR	same as last year
CLA41	Number of CLA placed with IFAs at period end	159	131	116	n/a	n/a	CR	reflects the increase in numbers of children looked after and the pressure on in house placements. All IFAS are regularly reviewed to ensure that the this is the most appropriate placement
CLA42	Number of CLA placed with in-house Foster Carers at period end	314	290	223	n/a	n/a	CR	Reflects increase
TBC	Number of CLA placed with [placement type] at period end							
CLA43	Percentage of CLA looked after for a year+ of school age with persistent absence during the school year	n/a Yearly	n/a Yearly	Not yet published	n/a	5.0%	CR	

CLA44	Percentage of current CLA of school age with persistent absence during the school year to end of quarter (Q2 full previous academic year; Q3 autumn term) NB may include attendance for some children before they became looked after. Details of definitions used are available.	15.7%	New measure to be collected from Q2	n/a New measure	n/a	n/a	CR	As this figure includes absences before a child is looked after the actual figure for looked after children is lower. The virtual school is actively following up all children who are not in school or who are on part time timetables
CLA46	Number of children ceasing to be looked after during the period	51	49	184	n/a	n/a	CR	Active planning is increasingly in place to ensure that children are only looked after for as long as necessary
CLA48	% of care leavers still in contact with social services	96%	82%	76%	n/a	n/a	CR	This reflects proactive work to engage and reengage young people who have left care and has a positive impact on our knowledge of whether they are in suitable accommodation
NI 148	% Care leavers not in contact or NEET	70%	56%	69%	41%	55%	CMT	Improvement in our performance in keeping in contact with care leavers means that the absolute number in this cohort has decreased. Although performance in respect of numbers or care leavers who are NEET remains disappointing, 4 are NEET as a result of parenting responsibilities and 5 are NEET due to illness/disability and are assessed as being unfit for work at this time. This leaves 7 out of 23 in this cohort as NEET for no specified reason. Expected end of year out-turn is based on Q1 and Q2 performance.
NI 147	% of care leavers who are in contact and in suitable accommodation	87%	74%	70%	88%	78%	CMT	Improved performance is due to better methods of engaging and staying in touch with our care leavers and work ongoing to improve the range of accommodation options. Expected end of year out-turn is based on Q1 and Q2 performance. An action plan is in place following Ofsted Inspection to improve performance and outcomes for care leavers.

Adoption Service

Adopted

TBC	The number of adoption orders granted in period	New - not yet collected						
Adoption Scorecard A4	Percentage of children leaving care who were adopted during period	22%	18%	16%	20%	17%	CR	Reflects the focus on work on achieving permanence through active planning
AD6	% Children leaving care for permanence (Special Guardianship Order or Adoption) in period	27%	27%	27%	n/a	28%	CMT	Reflects the focus on work on achieving permanence through active planning

Awaiting adoption

AD7	Number of children with a placement order who are not yet placed for adoption at period end	77	81	81	n/a	n/a	CR	Although a number of children are not yet placed, potential matches have been identified and presented to panel and this number is expected to decrease during the next 6 months
-----	---	----	----	----	-----	-----	----	--

System efficiency and response

Adoption Scorecard A1	Average number of days taken to place a child for adoption after entering the care system (for adoptions in period)	757	850	794	645 (3-year average)	697 (3-year average)	CMT	This measure is complex and reflects factors such as the length of care proceedings, the complexity of children's needs, whether they are part of a sibling group and therefore how easy it has been to place them for adoption. 2014-15 year to date performance reflects a drive to place older children and sibling groups and some historical lengthy care proceedings. Performance for quarter 2 (757 days) has already seen an improvement since quarter 1 (850 days).
Adoption Scorecard A2	Average days taken to match a child to adoptive family following Placement Order	313	316	253	236 (3-year average)	217 (3-year average)	CR	This measure is complex and reflects factors such as the length of care proceedings, the complexity of children's needs, whether they are part of a sibling group and therefore how easy it has been to place them for adoption.
TBC	Number of enquiries about adoption in period	New - not yet collected						
AD13	Number of Adopter applications at Stage 1 (count of carer units)	5	5	5	n/a	n/a	CR	This measure reflects s consistent activity in recruitment of adopters

AD16	Number of Adopter applications at Stage 2	13	14	30	n/a	n/a	CR	
TBC	Number of newly approved adopters in period	New - not yet collected						
AD19	Approved prospective adoptive families (per 10,000 population ages 0-17)	7	7	8	n/a	4	CR	Southampton's rate of approved adopters is well above the national average, but we still have more children waiting for adoption than we have adopters waiting for children

Outcomes

Youth Offending Service (YOS) 12 month rollback calculations

Demand monitoring

YO2	Number of first time entrants to the Youth Justice System per 100,000 10-17 year olds in period	698	826	977	560	460	LSCB	The Southampton First Time Entrants rate has reduced. There is a general national reduction; although the decrease in Southampton FTEs is more pronounced. The most recent data is for the period immediately before the implementation of the Southampton Joint Decision Making Panel, with Hampshire Constabulary. Further improvement is therefore strongly anticipated.
LSCB25	Number of convictions for crimes committed by 'priority young people' cohort	8	14	19	n/a	n/a	LSCB	The conviction level this quarter in the Priority Young People cohort is 8, in comparison to an average of 19 convictions prior to the start of the scheme and an average of 10.7 convictions at the start of the current cohort.
LSCB26	Number of serious offences committed by young people	6 young people subject to MAPPA	7 young people subject to MAPPA	13 young people subject to MAPPA	n/a	n/a	LSCB	There are currently 6 young people subject to MAPPA Category One, Level Two - 1 Category Two, Level One - 3 Category Two, Level Two - 1 Category Three, Level Two - 1

Early help

Outcomes

LSCB23 / YO8	% Young people re-offending in 12 month period from original offence	41.1%	46.2%	48.3%	37.1%	35.3%	CMT	The historic Police National Computer (PNC) data puts youth re-offending in Southampton at 41.1%, which is 7.5% lower than in the previous reporting period and achieves this year's target. However, more sustained improvement is required. The YOS has begun to use a 'live' tracking tool developed by the Youth Justice Board. Local data puts re-offending by the 13 / 14 cohort at 25.9%. The conviction level this quarter in the Priority Young People cohort (most prolific young offenders) is 8, in comparison with an average of 19 convictions prior to the start of the scheme and an average of 10.7 convictions at the start of the current cohort. Data is reported for the most recent cohort which is published 12 months in arrears.
--------------	--	-------	-------	-------	-------	-------	-----	---

YOUTH OFFENDING SERVICE EXPENDITURE **Need to add into Children's Services Portfolio report**

Education

ED1	% Pupils attaining level 4+ in Reading, Writing and Maths at Key Stage 2	80% *Prov (2014)	80% *Prov (2014)	80% *Prov	74.8% *Prov	78% *Prov	CMT	*Provisional figure published by the DfE on 28/08/2014 Southampton's performance (80%) has exceeded the national average (78%) by 2% for the second consecutive year indicating strong performance. Targeting 3% above the national average takes into account adjustments and improvements in national performance due to changes in indicator definition and assessment.
ED2	% Pupils attaining 5 or more A*-C grades at GCSE, including English and Maths	49.8% *Prov (2014)	52% *Prov (2014)	52% *Prov	53.0% *Prov (2014)	56.1% *Prov (2014)	CMT	*Provisional figure published by the DfE on 23/10/2014. 49.8% of Southampton pupils achieved 5+ A*-C including English and Maths GCSE in 2014 achieving a rank of 132nd out of 152 Local Authorities. Nationally 56.1% of pupil achieved 5+ A*-C including English and Maths GCSE leading to a gap of 6.3% to Southampton (49.8%). Changes to this indicator as a result of first entry and the Wolf review have seen a decrease in Southampton's performance from 58.1% in 2013 to 49.8% in 2014 an 8.4% decline. Nationally there has also been a decline of 4.5% from 60.6% in 2013 to 56.1% in 2014. The council and schools are working closely together to identify opportunities to improve. The specifications for this measure will change over the next 5 years, with the current year including only first entries for English and Maths. It is therefore very difficult to set appropriate targets and our performance is therefore likely to change significantly as a result.

ED3	% children achieving a good level of development at the end of the Early Years Foundation Stage	62% (2014)	62% *Prov (2014)	62% *Prov	59.5% (2014)	60% (2014)	CMT	*Provisional figure published by the DfE on 16/10/2014. Southampton's performance improved by 11% between 2013 (50.8%) and 2014 (61.8%). This was 3% above the national increase of 8% (provisional). Southampton's performance now exceeds national average by 1.8% Best in class is the threshold performance of the top quarter.
LSCB14	Key Stage 2 Attainment – the gap between disadvantaged pupils (using free school meal measure) and others	14.2% *Prov (2014)	14.3% *Prov (2014)	14.3% *Prov	18.4% (2013)	16.0% *Prov (2014)	LSCB	Provisional KS2 attainment data indicates a smaller gap between disadvantaged pupils and others within Southampton (14.2% gap) than exists nationally (16.0% gap) between the same pupil groups. This is the second consecutive year that Southampton have had a positive disadvantaged pupils gap when compared to National performance.
LSCB15	Key Stage 4 attainment – the gap between disadvantaged pupils (using free school meal measure) and others	26.6% (2013)	26.6% (2013)	26.6% (2013)	28.4% (2013)	27.5% *Prov (2014)	LSCB	KS4 gap analysis reporting is currently not possible for 2014 outcomes due to National issues with applying the 2014 changes in key indicators following 'first' and Wolf review implementation. It is anticipated that these issues will be resolved by Q3.
LSCB16	% pupil absence (all schools)	4.8% (Autumn & Spring only 2014)	5.3% *Prov (2014)	5.3% *Prov (2014)	4.7% (Autumn & Spring only 2014)	4.4% (Autumn & Spring only 2014)	LSCB	The DfE published Autumn and Spring term absence data for the 2013/14 academic year on the 14/10/2014. This analysis combines primary and secondary absence only (not special schools) for the autumn and spring terms. There is currently a 0.4% gap between Southampton and National performance resulting in 19,703 days additional absence and a Local Authority ranking of 131st out of 152 Local Authorities.
LSCB17	% of 16 – 18 year olds not in Education, Employment or Training (NEET)	5.0%	5.5%	5.6%		5.3%	LSCB	Focused activity has led to an improvement in these figures and they are now below the national average.
LSCB18	Number of Children Missing from Education (only relating to children of compulsory school age)	1156	6 (2013/14)	Only available as a snapshot - not collected at the time	No standard method of counting	No standard method of counting	LSCB	On 30.09.14 we had a total of 1156 children on the education database, of school age, whose current education provision, or whereabouts, were unknown to the LA. This number falls as the year progresses and the whereabouts of children in Hampshire and independent schools becomes known and children who have changed schools are tracked; the Q1 figure represents the unclosed cases near the end of the academic year. Note there were data accuracy issues in Quarter 1.
LSCB19	Number of pupils being electively home educated (EHE) - only relating to children of compulsory school age	167	176	Only available as a snapshot - not collected at the time	No standard method of counting	No standard method of counting	LSCB	As pupils are removed from roll with a reason of elective home education, schools are requested to provide the LA with a copy of the deregistration letter. The child's record is then updated to reflect their new, lawful, education provision. This is how we maintain our EHE register. Note there were data accuracy issues in Quarter 1.
LSCB20	% of EHE children with a statement of special educational need/EHC Plan	4.7%	1.7%	New measure	No comparator	No comparator	LSCB	This equates to 8 children - 4 of whom are statemented and 4 of whom are under assessment. We are investigating how to monitor this going forward - and to identify an officer who will have this included in their work portfolio
LSCB21	% of EHE children who are Children Looked After (measure to be reviewed)	0.0%	1.1%	New measure	No comparator	No comparator	LSCB	The Looked After Children recorded as receiving EHE in Q1 have now been placed at schools